



Colorado Pre-Rulemaking Protection Agency Stakeholder Sessions, August 1, 2022 **Data Protection Assessments (for Controllers and Processors)**

Thank you to the Colorado Attorney General and Colorado Department of Law for providing the opportunity for stakeholders to give feedback to pre-rulemaking for the Colorado Privacy Act. Hello, I am Barbara Lawler, President of the Information Accountability Foundation.

The IAF is a non-profit research and educational information policy think tank. Our mission is to foster effective organizational accountability that facilitates a trusted digital ecosystem, and the ability for organizations to use data to create real value for people.

- We believe that to be trusted, organizations must be accountable, responsible and answerable, and be prepared to demonstrate their accountability.
- We believe that frameworks based on risk assessment and effective data governance enable beneficial, data-driven innovation while protecting individuals and society from the potential harms that may arise from data processing in the digital age.

As the interests of multiple stakeholders increase and the expectations that organization be accountable for the processing of data about people, data protection assessments are a critical and necessary lynchpin of operational integrity for evaluating the risk to consumers' privacy and security.

Since 2014, the IAF has led multistakeholder research projects that describe ethics-based assessment frameworks for complex and potentially risky data processing. Deciding to process data is in itself a risk-based action. This work includes global forums, as well as specific projects in the U.S., Bermuda, Canada, Singapore, Hong Kong China and Europe. Data Protection and similar types of Privacy Risk Assessment frameworks function as a governance model for organizations of all sizes, and should be encouraged regardless of the type of data processing activity or in anticipation of a "heightened risk of harm to a consumer".

Accountability-based risk assessments are responsive to both aspects of accountability – being responsible and being answerable to enforcement authorities. The objective for the data protection assessment is to determine risk assessment is to demonstrate (be answerable) that data processing is responsible and that impacts to stakeholders are considered. Fundamentally, the question of who is impacted – people -- and how, and whether others – as

in other people, larger groups or the public -- are part of a data protection assessment that is demonstrable to enforcement authorities.

The data protection assessment process creates the documents that provide a sustainable mechanism for the organization to be answerable and to demonstrate that it is acting responsibly.

To determine the risk of what and to whom, a measurable, vetted data protection risk assessment framework is needed to describe the impacts to people. That is, a framework of negatives to isolate and then manage and measure against. The IAF created such a framework as part of our model legislation, The FAIR and OPEN USE Act – which we’ve called “Adverse Processing Impacts”, and is derived from the already vetted NIST Privacy Framework.

Incorporating Adverse Processing Impacts into privacy risk assessments serve broader purposes. It creates mechanisms for Privacy by Design, points to controls that should be implemented and validated, informs usable and fair designs for consumers, and creates a strong linkage with security risk assessments based on the NIST Security Framework and [NIST Privacy Framework](#) or similar widely-recognized and in-use frameworks such as SOC 1/2 and ISO27000 Series. It provides measurability for the organization. And equally important, it provides a means to measure and enhance the capability to oversee and review risk assessments by the Department.

Although technical compliance to the CPA is important, if that is the only focus, organizations will miss the bigger picture (strategic) issues and considerations related to the processing of data about people. Therefore accountability-based data protection risk assessments should become the norm for structuring and implementing risk assessments and the broader privacy and security governance for all types organizations – Controllers and their Processors.

Thank you. Detailed resources can be found on our website, informationaccountability.org and are linked in the written submission of our comments (found on the next page, p3). We look forward to future discussions with the Department on this and related topics.

Regards,

Barbara Lawler



Barbara Lawler
President

IAF

blawler@informationaccountability.org
www.informationaccountability.org

Resources:

[Adverse Processing Impact and Risk to individuals](#) 2021-22

[Expansive Impact Assessments and AI \(Global\)](#) 2021

[Bermuda Report on Information Accountability](#) 2020

[Bermuda Commissioner Comments on Information Accountability](#) 2020

[Singapore Checklist and Assessment for Legitimate Interests](#) 2019-2020

[Ethical Data Impact Assessments \(Global\)](#) 2019

[Data Stewardship Accountability and Impact Assessment \(HK\)](#) 2018

[Canadian Assessment Framework](#) 2017

[Canadian Assessment Oversight](#) 2017

[Legitimate Interests Risk Assessments \(EU\)](#) 2017

[Risk-Benefit Analysis for Data Intensive Initiatives \(US\)](#) 2016

[Big Data Assessment Framework and Worksheet \(Global\)](#) 2014-15

[Big Data Contextual Assessment for Marketing \(Global\)](#) 2014-15

[Enforcing Big Data Assessment Processes \(Global\)](#) 2015